

# Characterizing an SFP+ Transceiver at the 16G Fibre Channel Rate

Primer

## Table of Contents

<b>Abstract</b> .....	<b>3</b>	<b>Summary</b> .....	<b>34</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>3</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b> .....	<b>34</b>
1.1 Interpreting the Standards .....	4	<b>References</b> .....	<b>35</b>
1.2 Overview of Testing an Optical Transceiver .....	7		
<b>2. Test Specifications for an SFP+ Optical Transceiver</b> .....	<b>8</b>		
<b>3. Measurement Example – 1310 nm Laser, Single Mode Fiber Variant</b> .....	<b>10</b>		
3.1 SFP+ Device Under Test (DUT) .....	10		
3.2 14.025 Gb/s Capable Test Equipment .....	11		
3.3 Transmitter Testing .....	12		
3.4 Receiver Testing .....	23		

## Fibre Channel is a high speed networking technology, primarily used for Storage Area Networking (SAN)




Figure 1. An example Fibre Channel topology.

## Abstract

The Fibre Channel standard is evolving to include the next generation "16G" data rate. Specifications show a line rate of 14.025 Gb/s and use of 64b/66b encoding. In this paper, we study the measurements needed to test an SFP+ transceiver to the 16G Fibre Channel standard, covering both Multi- Mode 850 nm and Single Mode 1310 nm interfaces. That is followed by a test and characterization example using a Single Mode 1310 nm laser SFP+ transceiver at the 16G line rate of 14.025 Gb/s using state of the art test equipment.

## 1. Introduction

Fibre Channel (FC) is a high speed networking technology primarily used for Storage Area Networking (SAN). Devices on an Fibre Channel network are typically storage devices, Host Bus Adapters (HBA) connected to computers or servers, or as in the example "Switched Fabric" topology in Figure 1, a SAN Switch, which controls the "Fabric", or state of the Fibre Channel network by optimizing the connections between ports. The ports and switches use transceivers such as XFP<sup>ii</sup> (10 Gigabit Small Form Factor Pluggable), SFP<sup>iii</sup> (Small Form Factor Pluggable) used for 4G and lower Fibre Channel applications, or SFP+<sup>vi</sup> used for 8GFC and 10 Gigabit Ethernet (GbE) applications (and will be used for 16GFC too), to interface to the Fibre Channel network. Fibre Channel supports either copper or optical cabling, but optical connections will be the focus of this paper.




Figure 2. An SFP+ device.

SFP+ is one type of transceiver found on a Fibre Channel network. As shown in Figure 2, it has an electrical interface to the host electronics, and an optical interface to the Fibre Channel network. The SFP+ is a more simplified transceiver module than its 10 GbE predecessor, the XFP optical module, moving some of the electronics out of the module and onto the line card with the serializer/deserializer (SerDes)/physical layer (PHY) functions, electronic dispersion compensation (EDC), and signal conditioning. As a result, the modules are smaller, consume less power, allow increased port density, and are less expensive compared to XFP.

Historically, SFP+ devices have not included clock and data recovery (CDR) circuitry, leaving that to the downstream IC. However, many SFP+ designs targeting 16GFC will include CDR circuitry inside the transceiver, at least on the receiver side. This will help clean up the signal for the downstream host ASIC, since jitter within the CDR loop bandwidth will be tracked out.

## 1.1 Interpreting the Standards

There are separate standards for Fibre Channel and SFP+.

1. The Fibre Channel standard<sup>v</sup>, Fibre Channel Physical Interface-5 (FC-PI-5), September 25, 2008 is in draft form as of December 1, 2009 (the latest document, 09-392v1, is available on the t11 website ([www.t11.org](http://www.t11.org)), dated Nov 17, 2009). It includes the 16G specifications and carries forward from its precursor, Fibre Channel Physical Interface-4<sup>v</sup> (FC-PI-4).
2. The SFP+ standard<sup>vi</sup>, SFF-8431 Specifications for Enhanced Small Form Factor Pluggable Module SFP+, rev 4.1, July 4, 2009 does not include mention of 16G Fibre Channel.

For 8GFC environments, the SFP+ standard explicitly defers to the Fibre Channel standard. We will assume that it will do the same for 16GFC, and thus, we will use the latest publicly available draft of the Fibre Channel FC-PI-5 standard for testing an SFP+ device in this paper.




Figure 3. Timeline of Fibre Channel evolution.

In general, the specifications for 16G in the Fibre Channel standard are mostly complete (although subject to change), with many test methodologies referring to the incomplete Fibre Channel - Methodologies for Signal Quality Specification<sup>vii</sup> (MSQS) document. For the purposes of this paper, previous standards such as the FC-PI-4 and the Fibre Channel - Methodologies for Jitter and Signal Quality Standard<sup>viii</sup> (MJSQ) will be consulted in cases where measurement methodologies are unavailable in the FC-PI-5 and MSQS documents.

To understand more about the 16G specifications, it is helpful to look at the evolution of the Fibre Channel standard. As shown in Figure 3, besides the data rate, the big difference between 8G Fibre Channel and 16G Fibre Channel is the encoding method. 64b/66b encoding used for 16G is a more efficient encoding mechanism than 8b/10b used for 8G, and allows for the data rate to double without doubling the line rate. The result is the 14.025 Gb/s line rate for 16G Fibre Channel.

The other area impacted by the encoding method is the compliance data pattern. Since both the FC-PI-5 and FC-MSQS are still in draft, the data patterns to use for testing are not always clear. For now, data patterns used for 8GFC testing and those used in other high speed standards that use 64b/66b encoding should be turned to for clues.

- **JSPAT** is widely used for 8G Fibre Channel.
- **PRBS-9** is used for SFP+.
- **PRBS-31** is used for 10 Gb and 100 Gb Ethernet.

A combination of all three data patterns will be used in the upcoming example.

When interpreting the Fibre Channel standard, one must identify the correct “variants” to use. Variants are used in the specifications tables to direct readers to the sections of the tables that pertain to their device’s interfaces. The standard supports numerous variants, each defined by four categories:

1. **Speed** – 1G, 2G, 4G, 8G, or 16G. This paper focuses on 16G.
2. **Transmission media** – single mode (SM) or multi-mode (MM) optics, or copper
3. **Interoperability type** – a wavelength specific laser with limiting or linear optical receiver, or electrical with or without downstream equalization
4. **Distance** – up to 70 m (short) to 50 km (very long)




Figure 4. SFP+ block diagram and example 16G electrical and optical variants. Examples of Multi-Mode and Single Mode optical variants are shown.

An example SFP+ block diagram is shown in Figure 4. There are two types of interfaces – the electrical side interfaces with electronics on the host, and the optical side interfaces with the optical network. The electrical interface must be tested using an electrical variant. The example shown assumes a non-equalizing downstream receiver. On the optical side, SFP+ devices can operate over multi-mode or single mode optical fiber, depending on the wavelength of the laser. Example multi-mode and single mode variants are shown for the optical interface in Figure 4.

Single mode fiber typically operates over longer distances than multi-mode fiber and requires more expensive optical components to drive it. Multi-mode fiber is limited to shorter distances, often within a building. Less expensive optical drivers such as LEDs (light emitting diodes) or VCSELs (vertical cavity surface emitting lasers) can be used for multi-mode operation.

The variants and their specifications will be discussed in depth in Chapter 2, ‘Test Specifications for an SFP+ Optical Transceiver’, and used in Chapter 3, ‘Example – 1310 nm Laser, Single Mode Fiber Variant’.





Figure 5. Test and characterization of an SFP+ device in the following example is split up into four steps.

## 1.2 Overview of Testing an Optical Transceiver


There are four basic steps in testing an SFP+ transceiver, as shown in Figure 5. These four steps will be referred to throughout the remainder of this paper. The icons below will be used as a navigation aid in the upcoming example.

### 1.2.1 Transmitter Testing:

1. The input signal used to test the transmitter must be good enough. Measurements of jitter and an eye mask test must be performed to confirm the quality using electrical measurements.




2. The optical output of the transmitter must be tested using several optical quality metrics such as a mask test, OMA (optical modulation amplitude), and Extinction Ratio.




### 1.2.2 Receiver Testing

3. Unlike testing the transmitter, where one must ensure that the input signal is of good enough quality, testing the receiver involves sending in a signal that is of poor enough quality. To do this, a stressed eye representing the worst case signal shall be created. This is an optical signal, and must be calibrated using jitter and optical power measurements.



4. Finally, testing the electrical output of the receiver must be performed. Three basic categories of tests must be performed:



- A mask test, which ensures a large enough eye opening. The mask test is usually accompanied by a BER (bit error ratio) depth.
- Jitter budget test, which tests for the amount of certain types of jitter.
- Jitter tracking and tolerance, which tests the ability of the internal clock recovery circuit to track jitter within its loop bandwidth.

		Specification				Multi-Mode Transceiver (Mx-SN (850 nm)-y)			Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-L)			
Step	Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table	
1	Tx Verify Input Signal	Deterministic Jitter (DJ)	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	N/A	0.17 UI (max)	0.31 UI (max)	6.4.4/13	0.17 UI (max)	0.31 UI (max)	6.3.4/9
		Data Dependent Pulse Width Shrinkage (DDPWS)	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	N/A	0.11 UI (max)	0.11 UI (max)		0.11 UI (max)	0.11 UI (max)	
		Uncorrelated Jitter (UJ) (rms)	MSQS, 2.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A	0.02 UI (max)	0.03 UI (max)		0.02 UI (max)	0.03 UI (max)	
		Total Jitter (TJ)	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	N/A	0.31 UI (max)	0.45 UI (max)		0.31 UI (max)	0.45 UI (max)	
		Mask test at 1e-12 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A	16G mask is narrower than 8G mask		9.5.2/22	16G mask is narrower than 8G mask		9.5.2/22
2	Tx test	Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	0.302 mW (min)	0.331 mW (min)	6.4.2/11	0.290 mW (min)	0.631 mW (min)	6.3.2/7
		Relative Intensity Noise (12 dB Return Loss) OMA (RIN12OMA)	FC-PI-4, A.1.4.5	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	not specified	yes	-128 dB/Hz (max)	-130 dB/Hz (max)		-128 dB/Hz (max)	-130 dB/Hz (max)	
		Transmitter Waveform Distortion Penalty (TWDPO)	MSQS, 4.3	JSPAT	not specified	not specified	4.3 dB (max)	3.5 dB (max) (note 1)		N/A	N/A	
		UJ (rms)	MSQS, 2.2.1	JSPAT	yes	yes	0.03 UI (max)	0.03 UI (max)	6.4.4/13	N/A	N/A	N/A
		Extinction Ratio (ER)	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.3	JSPAT	yes	yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.5 dB (min)	3.5 dB (min)	6.3.2/7
		Transmitter Dispersion Penalty (TDP)	IEEE 802.3-2005 52.9.10	PRBS-31	yes	yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	3.2 dB (max)	4.4 dB (max)	
		Mask test at 1e-3 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	yes	16G and 8G masks are the same		6.4.2/12	16G mask is slightly smaller than 8G mask		

Table 1 Notes: 1. TWDPO may be replaced by VECpq (Vertical Eye Closure Penalty) for 1600-Mx-SN variants.

Table 1. Specifications for testing an SFP+ transmitter to the 8G and 16G Fibre Channel standard.

## 2. Test Specifications for an SFP+ Optical Transceiver

In this section, the specifications for testing an SFP+ optical transceiver will be examined, following steps 1-4 as just outlined. This section will address both types of optical interfaces – namely, an 850 nm laser operating over multi-mode fiber, and a 1310 nm laser operating over single mode fiber. Table 1 outlines the transmitter test specifications. For each measurement, the following information is included:

- The location in the specifications of the **measurement methodology**. As previously mentioned, there are currently many references in FC-PI-5 to methodologies that will be in MSQS, but at the moment do not exist. However, many of these methodologies exist in FC-PI-4, which is why many entries Table 1 and Table 2 refer to FC-PI-4.
- The **data pattern** is often specified in the measurement methodology. In some instances, the test pattern is not explicitly stated, and a best guess has been used.

- For many measurements, a **Golden PLL** with cutoff frequency of data rate/1667 is required.
- For optical measurements, an **optical reference receiver** with 4th Order Bessel-Thompson filter with bandwidth 0.75 x data rate is often required. This does not apply to electrical measurements.

The specifications for testing the electrical input to ensure good enough quality (Step 1) are almost identical between the MM and SM variants. The 16G limits are more relaxed compared to the 8G limits. For example, the acceptable amount of Total Jitter (TJ) that can be input to the transmitter is 0.45 UI for 16G compared to 0.31 UI for 8G, placing a higher burden on the transceiver to generate a decent optical output signal.

The measurements required in Step 2, transmitter output testing, are slightly different between the MM and SM variants. In general terms, SM fiber does not suffer from modal dispersion as much as MM fiber, and as such, can maintain the fidelity of the transmitted signal over longer distances. This is reflected in the particular set of requirements for each.

		Specification					Multi-Mode Transceiver (Mx-SN (850 nm)-y)				Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-L)				
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	Used Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table	
3	Stressed Receiver Sensitivity Eye	DDPWS setting	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	yes	N/A	0.238 UI	0.14 UI	6.4.1/11	N/A	Stressed Sensitivity Eye is not defined for SM		N/A	
		VECP setting	FC-PI-4, A.2.1.1	JSPAT	not specified	yes		3.1 dB	2.5 dB						
		DJ setting	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	optional		0.322 UI	0.22 UI						
		OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional		0.151 mW	0.182 mW						
4a	Rx Mask Test	OMA setting (note 4)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	0.042 mW	0.063 mW	6.3.3/7	
		Mask test at 1e-12 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A		16G mask is wider than 8G mask	9.3.1/26			16G mask is wider than 8G mask	9.3.1/26		
4b	Rx Jitter Budget Test	OMA setting (note 5)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	Yes	0.2 mW	TBD (note 1)	6.4.4/11	No	0.042 mW	0.063 mW		
		ER setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.3	JSPAT	yes	yes		N/A	N/A	N/A		3.5 dB	3.5 dB		
		RIN120MA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.4.5	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	not specified	yes			-128 dB/Hz	-130 dB/Hz		6.4.4/11	N/A	N/A	N/A
		DDPWS	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	N/A		0.36 UI (max)	0.14 UI (max)	6.4.4/13		0.36 UI (max)	0.14 UI (max)	6.3.4/9	
		DJ	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	N/A		0.42 UI (max)	0.22 UI (max)			0.42 UI (max)	0.22 UI (max)		
		TJ	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	N/A		0.71 UI (max)	0.36 UI (max)			0.71 UI (max)	0.36 UI (max)		
4c	Rx Jitter Tracking Test	OMA setting (note 3)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	No	0.2 mW	TBD (note 1)	FC-PI-4 Annex A/11	No	0.066 mW	0.095 mW	FC-PI-4 Annex A/7	
		SJ Test Points	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		(510 kHz, 1 UI) (100 kHz, 5 UI)	(840 kHz, 1 UI) (168 kHz, 5 UI)			(510 kHz, 1 UI) (100 kHz, 5 UI)	(840 kHz, 1 UI) (168 kHz, 5 UI)		
	Rx Jitter Tolerance Test	OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	No	0.2 mW	TBD (note 1)	6.4.4/11	N/A	No Jitter Tolerance for SM		N/A	
		DJ setting	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	optional		0.32 UI	TBD	6.4.4/14					
		TJ setting	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	optional		0.55 UI	0.6 UI						
		SJ Test Points (note 2)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		Use Jitter Tolerance Mask in Figure 20 of FC-PI-5		6.3.4/14					

Table 2 Notes: 1. MM Receiver Jitter Budget and Jitter Tracking should be tested with OMA from Jitter Tolerance, which is "N/A" in table 11 of FC-PI-5. 2. In Jitter Tolerance table 14, note 7 of FC-PI-5 states that, "Receiver jitter tracking is defined in FC-MSQS (reference [34])." In addition, the absence of Jitter Tolerance OMA in table 7 of FC-PI-5 leads to the possibility that Jitter Tolerance testing may not be mandatory for the MM variants. 3. FC-PI-5 refers to FC-MSQS for jitter tracking methodology, which has not been incorporated into the document yet. Refer back to FC-PI-4, section A.2.4, which states that Jitter Tolerance OMA should be used for the Jitter Tracking test. 4. The standard does not explicitly state what input signal should be used for Mask Testing at the receiver electrical output (delta R). Since a "worst case" signal should be used, the stressed eye should be used for the MM variants. However, since no stressed sensitivity eye exists for the SM variants, the unstressed receiver sensitivity OMA should be used. 5. The OMA used for Jitter Budget differs for SM and MM cases. For MM, FC-PI-5 states (section 6.4.4) that jitter should be tested with, "... receiver jitter tolerance test (OMA) with the stressed receiver sensitivity waveform and meeting or better than RIN120MA specification, as listed in table 11." For SM, FC-PI-5 states (section 6.3.4) that jitter should be tested with, "... over all allowable optical power input ranges and extinction ratios, as listed in table 7." The minimum OMA in table 11 of FC-PI-5 is the unstressed receiver sensitivity OMA.

Table 2. Specifications for testing an SFP+ receiver to the 8G and 16G Fibre Channel standard. The light green cells indicate input conditions to the receiver under test.

In Table 2, there are more differences between the MM and SM variants for receiver testing compared to those for transmitter testing. The biggest difference is that there is no receiver stressed sensitivity eye (Step 3) specifications for SM. Whereas the MM variant is tested with a stressed eye for the Eye Mask (Step 4a) and Jitter Budget (Step 4b) tests, the SM variant is tested with an unstressed eye that is impaired vertically with a low OMA setting.

The Jitter Tracking test (Step 4c) is the main test for the clock recovery circuit that will likely be in SFP+ transceivers operating at the 16G rate. The SM variant does not have any specifications for Jitter Tolerance, and it is possible that

the MM variant does not require it either (see note 2 of Table 2). The Jitter Tracking test does not require a stressed eye, and uses a higher OMA setting than the Eye Mask and Jitter Budget tests. The Jitter Tolerance test for MM variants uses a stressed eye recipe that is slightly different from the stressed eye in Step 3.

The test methodologies and measurements for the 16G SM variant will be covered in more detail in the following example. All measurements required for the MM variant as well as an 8G compliance testing example are covered in the predecessor to this application note, "Testing an SFP+ Transceiver to the 8x Fibre Channel Specifications<sup>ix</sup>".




Figure 6. SFP+ device used in example, showing Fibre Channel variants used.

### 3. Measurement Example – 1310 nm Laser, Single Mode Fiber Variant

The goal of this example is to demonstrate test and characterization of an SFP+ device to the 16G Fibre Channel standard using state of the art test equipment. The goal is not to show how the device can pass all the specifications; rather, the intent is to show the methodologies and tools one can use to accomplish 16G Fibre Channel testing and characterization with a Tektronix BERTScope.

#### 3.1 SFP+ Device Under Test (DUT)

This example uses a prototype SFP+ device designed for 10 Gb Ethernet applications, but pushed to the 14.025 Gb/s data rate of 16G Fibre Channel. It does not include CDR circuitry, so the Jitter Tracking and Tolerance methods will be covered, but not demonstrated.

Inside, the SFP+ DUT has a limiting amplifier in the receiver, and a 1310 nm laser in the transmitter designed to operate over single mode optical fiber. For testing the electrical interface, the variant 1600-DF-EL-S is used, and for the optical interface, the variant 1600-SM-LC-L is used, as shown in Figure 6. The specifications in Table 1 and Table 2 will be referred to throughout the example.




Figure 7. Fitting the test equipment into the four test steps.

### 3.2 14.025 Gb/s Capable Test Equipment

Characterizing and testing an SFP+ device requires a set of equipment that can produce and measure optical and electrical signals at 14.025 Gb/s. Signal generation and measurements at 14.025 Gb/s can be accomplished by the following set of test equipment.

1. The BERTScope BSA175C operates up to 17.5 Gb/s and includes both a stressed pattern generator and a BER-based signal measurement system.
  - a. The generator can provide a differential electrical signal for transmitter testing, and paired with an optical transmitter, it can provide the stressed signal for receiver testing.
  - b. The BER-based detector can perform electrical analyzer functions, such as eye measurements and eye mask tests, jitter decomposition, and jitter tolerance tests.

2. The BERTScope CR175A provides compliant clock recovery up to 17.5 Gb/s<sup>1</sup> with configurable loop bandwidth and peaking settings. Many measurements, such as jitter measurements, require the use of a Golden PLL, provided by the BERTScope CR.
3. The Tektronix DSA8200 is a digital sampling oscilloscope. It can perform full jitter and noise decomposition as well as eye diagram measurements. Equipped with an 80C11 Optical Reference Receiver, the DSA8200 can perform both electrical and optical measurements.

<sup>1</sup> Now available to 28.6 Gb/s.




Figure 8. Transmitter testing steps 1 and 2.

### 3.3 Transmitter Testing

This example will begin with testing the transmitter, composed of two steps (1 and 2). The input signal is verified in Step 1 (the DUT is not needed for this step), and the DUT's transmitter is tested in Step 2.




Figure 9. Setup of equipment for Step 1 – Transmitter Electrical Input Verification.

		Specification				Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-L)			
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/Table
1	Tx Verify Input Signal	Deterministic Jitter (DJ)	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	N/A	0.17 UI (max)	0.31 UI (max)	6.3.4/9
		Data Dependent Pulse Width Shrinkage (DDPWS)	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	N/A	0.11 UI (max)	0.11 UI (max)	
		Uncorrelated Jitter (UJ) (rms)	MSQS 2.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A	0.02 UI (max)	0.03 UI (max)	
		Total Jitter (TJ)	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	N/A	0.31 UI (max)	0.45 UI (max)	
		Mask test at 1e-12 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A	16G mask is narrower than 8G mask		9.5.2/22

Table 3. Specifications for Transmitter Input Verification – SM Variants.

### 3.3.1 Transmitter Testing Step 1 – Verify Electrical Input Signal

In Step 1, the input signal to the DUT must be tested to ensure a quality signal input to the transmitter. To verify the electrical signal, the setup shown in Figure 9 was used.

The measurements and limits for 16G transmitter input verification are shown in Table 3 along with its 8G predecessor for comparison. Note that the limits for 16G are more lenient than those for 8G.

All measurements listed in Table 3 are demonstrated in the following sections, along with a brief definition of each measurement.




Figure 10. DJ and TJ measurements on the BERTScope for Transmitter Input Verification.

### 3.1.1.1 Deterministic Jitter (DJ) and Total Jitter (TJ)

In Figure 10, TJ and DJ were measured on the BERTScope using the Jitter Map jitter decomposition feature. Details on

the methods used to measure jitter can be found in several sources, including the MJSQ<sup>vi</sup>, and the dual-Dirac<sup>x</sup> and Jitter Map<sup>xi</sup> white papers available from the BERTScope website.




Figure 11. DDPWS measurement on the BERTScope for Transmitter Input Verification.

### 3.3.1.2 Data Dependent Pulse Width Shrinkage (DDPWS)

The DDPWS measurement is similar to the Data Dependent Jitter (DDJ) measurement in that it captures the amount of eye closure due to inter-symbol interference (ISI) and duty cycle distortion (DCD). It differs from DDJ in cases of over-equalization where there is overcompensation for ISI from transmitter pre-emphasis or receiver equalization. This can improve DDPWS at the expense of DDJ.

The DDPWS measurement is based on an averaged waveform, as shown in Figure 11, and is the difference between one unit interval and the shortest pulse width, as measured on a JSPAT data pattern. In this example, the amount of measured DDPWS passes the requirement for the transmitter input signal.




Figure 12. UJ-rms measurement on the BERTScope for Transmitter Input Verification.

### 3.3.1.3 Uncorrelated Jitter (UJ)

The UJ-rms measurement is one based on jitter histograms or probability density functions (pdf) of a rising and falling edge of the data pattern. The standard deviations of the two histograms are RMS- averaged to create the UJ-rms measurement. According to MSQS, the JSPAT pattern can be used to measure UJ-rms (although the particular rising and falling edges in the pattern are not specified).

BERTScope BSA175C's Jitter Map includes a UJ-rms measurement, as shown in Figure 12. The measured UJ-rms is below the specified limit.




Figure 13. Mask Test on the BERTScope for Transmitter Input Verification.

### 3.3.1.4 Mask Test

A mask test is performed by comparing a keep out region to an eye diagram. If no part of the eye diagram crosses the mask, then the mask test passes. Typical eye diagrams are relatively shallow, however, and usually include sample waveforms numbering in the thousands, equating to a BER of approximately  $10^{-3}$ . For a more stringent test, masks can be accompanied by a sample depth requirement, as in this case where a depth of  $10^{-12}$  BER is required.

The BERTScope accomplishes deep mask testing in its Compliance Contour tool, which performs a deep extrapolation of the eye opening down to  $10^{-12}$  BER or below, and compares a mask to the opening at a specific BER level. In the example in Figure 13, the mask must be compared to the eye opening at  $10^{-12}$  BER, which is the white innermost ring. The mask does not cross into this ring and the mask test passes.




Figure 14. Setup of equipment for Step 2 – Transmitter Optical Output Test.

		Specification				Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-L)			
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/Table
2	Tx Test	Optical modulation amplitude (OMA)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	0.290 mW (min)	0.631 mW (min)	6.3.2/7
		Relative Intensity Noise (12 dB Return Loss) OMA (RIN <sub>12OMA</sub> )	FC-PI-4, A.1.4.5	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	not specified	yes	-128 dB/Hz (max)	-130 dB/Hz (max)	
		Extinction Ratio (ER)	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.3	JSPAT	yes	yes	3.5 dB (min)	3.5 dB (min)	6.3.2/8
		Transmitter Dispersion Penalty (TDP)	IEEE 802.3-2005 52.9.10	PRBS-31	yes	yes	3.2 dB (max)	4.4 dB (max)	
		Mask test at 1e-3 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	yes	16G mask is slightly smaller than 8G mask		

Table 4. Specifications for Transmitter Optical Output Testing – SM Variants.

### 3.3.2 Transmitter Testing Step 2 – Test Optical Output

For Step 2, the optical output signal of the DUT’s transmitter must be tested to ensure that the transmitter can generate a good quality signal. To verify the optical signal, the equipment setup was as shown in Figure 14. JSPAT continued to be the data pattern used. All optical measurements were taken using the Tektronix DSA8200 Sampling Oscilloscope.

The measurements and limits for 16G transmitter output testing are shown in Table 4 along with its 8G predecessor for comparison. Note that the limits for 16G are more stringent than those for 8G, especially the OMA. Demonstrations of RIN<sub>12OMA</sub> and TDP are beyond the scope of this example.




Figure 15. Comparison of the input electrical eye taken from the BERTScope to the output optical eye taken from the Tektronix DSA8200.

### 3.3.2.1 Comparison of Input and Output Eye Diagrams

First, it is helpful to compare the electrical signal going into the transmitter, with the optical signal coming out. Figure 15 compares the input and output eye diagrams. There is

some slowing of the rise and fall time of the output waveform compared to the input waveform. Remember that the DUT was one designed for 10 Gb/s operation, being pushed to 14.025 Gb/s in this example.




Figure 16. The OMA measurement on the transmitter optical output signal shown on the Tektronix DSA8200.

### 3.3.2.2 Optical Modulation Amplitude (OMA)

OMA is a measurement of the optical power and is specified in FC-PI-4 to be measured on a square wave data pattern

(K28.7, or repeating 1111100000). This example shows the measurement on a JSPAT pattern. The measured value is lower than the minimum OMA.




Figure 17. The ER measurement on the transmitter optical output signal shown on the Tektronix DSA8200.

### 3.3.2.3 Extinction Ratio (ER)

The extinction ratio is another optical power measurement, defined as the ratio of the logic one power level to the logic zero power level, expressed in dB. It is a measure of efficiency

– the higher the extinction ratio, the less average optical power is needed to maintain a constant level of BER at the receiver. The passing measurement is shown on the Tektronix DSA8200.




Figure 18. Mask Test on the transmitter optical output signal shown on the Tektronix DSA8200.

### 3.3.2.4 Mask Test

Figure 18 shows another mask test, similar to the mask used in Step 1, Transmitter Input Verification, in Figure 13. However, the mask used here in Step 2, Transmitter Optical Output Testing, is a shallow mask, defined at a BER of  $10^{-3}$  instead of a deep mask defined at  $10^{-12}$  BER, which was used in Step 1.

To perform a shallow mask test, a sufficient number of waveforms can be captured in the eye diagram directly and compared to the mask. This is in contrast to the need to extrapolate to  $10^{-12}$  BER for deep mask testing, as seen in Figure 13. Figure 18 shows a total of 3133 waveforms, and the signal passes the shallow mask test at  $10^{-3}$  BER.




Figure 19. Receiver testing steps 3 and 4.

### 3.4 Receiver Testing

Like transmitter testing, receiver testing involves setting up and measuring the input signal to the receiver (Step 3) and then testing the output of the receiver (Step 4). Unlike transmitter testing, the signal input to the receiver is a worst case signal, typically called a "stressed eye"; its intent is to challenge the receiver to ensure operation at a BER of 10<sup>-12</sup> or better under stressed signal conditions.

Previously, it was discussed that one big difference between the MM and SM variants was that the SM variant did not require a stressed eye to be created. However, because this is a critical step in testing MM variants, it will be covered in this example. In Step 3, we will create an optical stressed eye, and in Step 4, we will use it for testing and characterization of an SFP+ receiver, using it as a vehicle to aid in understanding how to make the various measurements involved in all aspects of testing an optical receiver to the 16G Fibre Channel specifications.




Figure 20. Setup of equipment for Step 3 – Receiver Optical Stressed Eye creation.

		Specification				Multi-Mode Transceiver (Mx-SN (850 nm)-y)				
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/Table
3	Stressed Receiver Sensitivity Eye	DDPWS setting	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	yes	N/A	0.238 UI	0.14 UI	6.4.1/11
		VECP setting	FC-PI-4, A.2.1.1	JSPAT	not specified	yes		3.1 dB	2.5 dB	
		DJ setting	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	optional		0.322 UI	0.22 UI	
		OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional		0.151 mW	0.182 mW	

Table 5. Specifications for Receiver Optical Stressed Eye for MM Variants.

### 3.4.1 Receiver Testing Step 3 – Create Optical Stressed Eye (For MM Variants)

In Step 3, the receiver optical stressed eye will be created using the setup in Figure 20. The BERTScope generates the stressed electrical signal. After the signal gets converted to an optical stressed signal, it is measured by the Tektronix DSA8200 with optical receiver to ensure proper stressed signal conditions.

It is important to measure the signal input to the receiver. As seen in Figure 20, there are many components, both active (in the optical transmitter) and passive (electrical and optical cables) in between the originating electrical signal and the optical signal input to the receiver. These can have an

impact on the eye opening in both the horizontal and vertical directions.

Note that the data pattern used in Steps 3 and 4 for receiver testing is PRBS-9 instead of JSPAT. Recall that many test methodologies have yet to appear in MSQS. PRBS-9 was used in this example to show another possible data pattern that may eventually be used in FC-PI-5 and MSQS.

In creating a stressed eye, there is a recipe of impairments that must be followed. The recipe in the Fibre Channel standard for Multi-Mode is shown in Table 5. Single Mode variants do not require a stressed eye recipe. However, we include the calibration of a stressed eye in this example since it is a good illustration of how to perform the necessary measurements.




Figure 21. Composition of optical stressed eye, as measured by the Tektronix DSA8200.

### 3.4.1.1 Jitter and OMA

These measurements were conducted at a time when the standard was still in its early stages of completion. The stressed eye recipe was derived from the 10 Gb Ethernet standard. Its targets were:

- DJ = 0.3 UI (16GFC requires 0.22)
- DDPWS = 0.24 UI (16GFC requires 0.14 UI)
- TJ = 0.55 UI (16GFC doesn't include a TJ measurement)
- OMA = 0.2 mW (16GFC requires 0.182 mW)

As shown in Figure 21, the optical stressed eye meets the intended target values for DJ, DDPWS, TJ, and OMA, as measured on the Tektronix DSA8200. The stressed eye created had more jitter than the 16G Fibre Channel standard, but a higher OMA.




Figure 22. VECP definition and example measurement.

### 3.4.1.2 Vertical Eye Closure Penalty

The Vertical Eye Closure Penalty (VECP) is a measure of how much eye closure is created by Inter- Symbol Interference (ISI),

and is represented as the ratio of the vertical eye opening with ISI, and the nominal amplitude without ISI. The VECP in this example is approximately 3 dB, as shown in Figure 22.




Figure 23. Setup of equipment for Step 4 – Receiver Testing.




Figure 24. This figure shows the input stressed eye from Step 3 and the output eye of the receiver in Step 4.

### 3.4.2 Receiver Testing Step 4 – Mask, Jitter Budget, and Jitter Tracking/Tolerance

In Step 4, the electrical output of the DUT is measured by the electrical reference receiver and measurement system, the BERTScope CR 14300 and the BERTScope Si 17500C respectively. Optical input signal conditions depend on the test being performed.

#### 3.4.2.1 Comparison of Input and Output Eye Diagrams

As an initial test, the input and output eyes from the receiver are compared in Figure 24 using the stressed eye setup in Step 3. From the appearances of the output eye diagram, which demonstrates a fairly open eye, the receiver should be able to operate within its BER objectives. However, only shallow eye diagrams are shown. A deep mask test (next) will be examined to ensure confidence in meeting the BER objective.

		Specification					Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-y)			
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/Table
4a	Rx Mask Test	OMA setting (note 4)	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	N/A	No	0.042 mW	0.063 mW	6.3.3/7
		Mask test at 1e-12 probability	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.1	JSPAT	yes	N/A		16G mask is wider than 8G mask	2.5 dB	9.3.1/26

Table 6. Specifications for Receiver Mask Test – SM Variants




Figure 25. Mask Test on the BERTScope for Receiver Testing

### 3.4.2.2 Receiver Testing Step 4a – Mask Test

To test an SM variant receiver, instead of a stressed eye, an input signal with low OMA should be used. However, this example went beyond compliance and used the stressed eye signal created in Step 3.

The mask specified in the Fibre Channel standard for 16G is slightly wider than that for 8G operation. It is shown in Figure 25. Note that the width of the mask is dictated by the TJ

specifications, which are more stringent for 16G (0.36 UI) than for 8G (0.71 UI). The mask is a deep mask test, defined at a BER of  $10^{-12}$ .

The mask used to test the output of the receiver in this example was narrower than that in the current standard, due to the added stress injected into the optical input signal in Step 3. Nonetheless, the DUT shows that it has a very clear  $10^{-12}$  BER opening, passing the deep mask test with the modified mask.

		Specification				Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-y)				
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/Table
4b	Rx Jitter Budget Test	OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	No	0.042 mW	0.063 mW	6.3.4/7
		ER setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.2.3	JSPAT	yes	yes		3.5 dB	3.5 dB	
		DDPWS	MSQS, 2.1.2	JSPAT	no	no		0.36 UI (max)	0.14 UI (max)	6.3.4/9
		DJ	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	yes		0.42 UI (max)	0.22 UI (max)	
		TJ	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	yes		0.71 UI (max)	0.36 UI (max)	

Table 7. Jitter Budget Specifications for Receiver Output – SM Variants.

### 3.4.2.3 Receiver Testing Step 4b – Jitter Budget

This step tests jitter measured at the output of the receiver. Table 7 shows the SM limits for jitter. Note that the 16G limits are more stringent (lower) than those for 8G. This is why the 16G mask is wider than the 8G mask, as shown in the previous section (Step 4a) – the mask horizontal limits are dictated by the TJ specification in Table 7.

The standard requires an optical input test signal meeting OMA and ER requirements. However, as in the previous step (Step 4a), the stressed eye from Step 3 was used as input. Instead of comparing output jitter measurements to the specification, the output jitter will be compared to the input jitter, with the goal of characterizing DUT, and ensuring that it is not injecting too much additional jitter than exists in the input signal.




Figure 26. Comparison of input and output jitter to the receiver.

### 3.4.2.3.1 Jitter Comparison – Input to Output

The input and output jitter results are shown in Figure 26. The jitter in the stressed eye (left) is the same as shown in Step 3, measured on the optical stressed eye using the Tektronix DSA8200. The jitter in the output of the receiver (electrical) is shown on the right, measured by the BERTScope's Jitter

Map feature. TJ and DJ had modest increases of less than 0.05 UI, and the total amount of RJ only increased by 0.01 UI (the equivalent of an increase of 0.0007 UI of RJ-rms). The DDPWS measurement actually decreased. This imparts confidence that the jitter is not increasing significantly when the signal passes through the DUT.




Figure 27. Example of jitter decomposition on a PRBS-31 data pattern on the BERTScope.

### 3.4.2.3.2 Jitter Decomposition on PRBS-31

In addition, Figure 27 shows how the BERTScope can decompose jitter on long data patterns such as PRBS-31 using the Jitter Map software option. Jitter Map can perform jitter analysis on patterns longer than PRBS-15 provided that it can first run on a shorter repeating data pattern (such as

PRBS-7). While PRBS-31 may not end up being a compliance pattern for 16G Fibre Channel, as more interconnect standards move toward longer compliance patterns, the need to perform detailed jitter analysis on long patterns will likely grow.

		Specification				Multi-Mode Transceiver (Mx-SN (850 nm)-y)				Single Mode Transceiver (SM-LC (1310 nm)-L)				
Step		Measurement	Method Description	Pattern	Golden PLL?	Optical Ref Rcvr?	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table	Uses Strssd Eye?	8G Limit	16G Limit	FC-PI-5 Section/ Table
4c	Rx Jitter Tracking Test	OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	No	0.2 mW	TBD	FC-PI-4 Annex A/11	No	0.066 mW	0.095 mW	FC-PI-4 Annex A/7
		SJ Test Points	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		(510 kHz, 1 UI) (100 kHz, 5 UI)	(840 kHz, 1 UI) (168 kHz, 5 UI)			(510 kHz, 1 UI) (100 kHz, 5 UI)	(840 kHz, 1 UI) (168 kHz, 5 UI)	
	Rx Jitter Tolerance Test	OMA setting	FC-PI-4, A.1.1.1	K28.7 (5 1s, 5 0s)	no	optional	No	0.2 mW	TBD	6.4.4/11	N/A	No Jitter Tolerance for SM		N/A
		DJ setting	MJSQ	K28.5 per MSQS, 6.2	yes	optional		0.32 UI	TBD	6.4.4/14				
		TJ setting	MJSQ	JSPAT	yes	optional		0.55 UI	0.6 UI					
SJ Test Points	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Use Jitter Tolerance Mask in Figure 20 of FC-PI-5			6.3.4/14						

Table 8. Jitter Tracking and Jitter Tolerance requirements for MM and SM variants.

### 3.4.2.4 Receiver Testing Step 4c – Jitter Tracking/ Tolerance

The final part of Step 4 is a jitter tracking/tolerance test. For SM variants, only the Jitter Tracking test is required. For

MM variants, the Fibre Channel standard includes both jitter tracking and tolerance, but it is possible that only jitter tracking will be necessary. Nonetheless, both types of tests are discussed next.




Figure 28. Jitter tracking and tolerance test templates. The jitter tolerance template is taken from figure 49 in [iv].

The goal of the jitter tracking test is to test the clock recovery circuitry of the receiver. Jitter tracking uses SJ frequencies that are well within the clock recovery loop bandwidth - 5 UI of SJ at 168 kHz, and 1 UI of SJ at 840 kHz. Clearly, with this amount of SJ amplitude, the receiver will generate errors if the clock recovery is not able to perform its job of tracking out the jitter. The input signal used for jitter tracking is not stressed. Instead, the OMA of the input signal is the only parameter specified.

Jitter tolerance for MM variants, on the other hand, uses an input signal that is similar to (but not exactly the same as) the stressed eye created in Step 3. The recipe is shown in Table 8.

Jitter tolerance tests the SJ frequency range up to the corner frequency of the clock recovery, which is equal to the data rate divided by 1667. While jitter tracking uses only two test points, the number of test points for jitter tolerance testing is not specified – only the template shown in Figure 28 is specified.

Figure 28 shows how the jitter tracking and tolerance tests can be setup in the BERTScope using the automated Jitter Tolerance tool, which can test specific SJ frequencies and amplitudes for BER, yielding pass/fail results for testing, or find the amount of margin in the DUT by finding the SJ amplitude pass/fail threshold for each SJ frequency test point for characterization.

## Summary

In this paper, an SFP+ device was characterized at the 16G Fibre Channel rate of 14.025 Gb/s, using the existing 16GFC standard as a guide. Using the BERTScope BSA175C, BERTScope CR 175A, and DSA8200 sampling oscilloscope with optical receiver, stressed signal generation and analysis for transmitter and receiver test and characterization was demonstrated. In particular, set up and calibration of an optical stressed eye for receiver test was shown, and used for testing and characterizing the SFP+ DUT by the BERTScope, including a deep eye mask test using Compliance Contour, jitter decomposition using Jitter Map, and jitter tracking/tolerance testing using the automated Jitter Tolerance tool.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Opnext, Finisar, and JDSU engineers for their help and support in the writing of this application note.

## References

- [i] eSeminar - Characterizing an SFP+ Transceiver at the 16G Fibre Channel Rate, October 15, 2009. ([www.tektronix.com](http://www.tektronix.com))
- [ii] XFP (10 Gigabit Small Form Factor Pluggable Module), Revision 4.5, August 31, 2005. ([www.xfpmsa.org](http://www.xfpmsa.org))
- [iii] SFP (Small Formfactor Pluggable) Transceiver, Rev 1.0, May 12, 2001. ([ftp.seagate.com/sff](ftp://ftp.seagate.com/sff))
- [iv] Fibre Channel Physical Interface-5 (FC-PI-5) REV 1.00, INCITS working draft proposed American National Standard for Information Technology, September 25, 2008. ([www.t11.org](http://www.t11.org))
- [v] Fibre Channel Physical Interface-4 (FC-PI-4) REV 8.00, INCITS working draft proposed American National Standard for Information Technology, May 21, 2008. ([www.t11.org](http://www.t11.org))
- [vi] SFF-8431 Specifications for Enhanced Small Form Factor Pluggable Module SFP+, Revision 4.1, SFF Committee, July 6, 2009.
- [vii] Fibre Channel - Methodologies for Signal Quality Specification – MSQS Draft Technical Report, International Committee for Information Technology Standardization (INCITS), T11.2/Project 1734-DT/Rev 0.1, April 22, 2009. ([www.t11.org](http://www.t11.org))
- [viii] Fibre Channel - Methodologies for Jitter and Signal Quality Specification – MJSQ Draft Technical Report, International Committee for Information Technology Standardization (INCITS), T11.2/Project 1316-DT/Rev 14, June 9, 2004. ([www.t11.org](http://www.t11.org))
- [ix] Testing an SFP+ Transceiver to the 8x Fibre Channel Specifications, Part I and Part II, SyntheSys Research, Inc., SR-TN076 and SR-TN077, August 2008. ([www.tektronix.com](http://www.tektronix.com))
- [x] Dual-Dirac, Scope Histograms and BERTScan Measurements – A Primer, SyntheSys Research, Inc., SR-TN045, September 2005. ([www.tektronix.com](http://www.tektronix.com))
- [xi] BERTScope Jitter Map “Under the Hood” – A New Methodology for Jitter Separation, SyntheSys Research, Inc., SR-TN085, April 2009. ([www.tektronix.com](http://www.tektronix.com))

**Contact Tektronix:**

- ASEAN / Australasia (65) 6356 3900
- Austria\* 00800 2255 4835
- Balkans, Israel, South Africa and other ISE Countries +41 52 675 3777
- Belgium\* 00800 2255 4835
- Brazil +55 (11) 3759 7600
- Canada 1 (800) 833-9200
- Central East Europe, Ukraine and the Baltics +41 52 675 3777
- Central Europe & Greece +41 52 675 3777
- Denmark +45 80 88 1401
- Finland +41 52 675 3777
- France\* 00800 2255 4835
- Germany\* 00800 2255 4835
- Hong Kong 400-820-5835
- India 000-800-650-1835
- Italy\* 00800 2255 4835
- Japan 81 (3) 6714-3010
- Luxembourg +41 52 675 3777
- Mexico, Central/South America & Caribbean 52 (55) 56 04 50 90
- Middle East, Asia and North Africa +41 52 675 3777
- The Netherlands\* 00800 2255 4835
- Norway 800 16098
- People's Republic of China 400-820-5835
- Poland +41 52 675 3777
- Portugal 80 08 12370
- Republic of Korea 001-800-8255-2835
- Russia & CIS +7 (495) 7484900
- South Africa +27 11 206 8360
- Spain\* 00800 2255 4835
- Sweden\* 00800 2255 4835
- Switzerland\* 00800 2255 4835
- Taiwan 886 (2) 2722-9622
- United Kingdom & Ireland\* 00800 2255 4835
- USA 1 (800) 833-9200

\* If the European phone number above is not accessible, please call +41 52 675 3777

Contact List Updated 25 May 2010

**For Further Information**

Tektronix maintains a comprehensive, constantly expanding collection of application notes, technical briefs and other resources to help engineers working on the cutting edge of technology. Please visit [www.tektronix.com](http://www.tektronix.com)



Copyright © 2010, Tektronix. All rights reserved. Tektronix products are covered by U.S. and foreign patents, issued and pending. Information in this publication supersedes that in all previously published material. Specification and price change privileges reserved. TEKTRONIX and TEK are registered trademarks of Tektronix, Inc. All other trade names referenced are the service marks, trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective companies.

09/10 EA/WWW

65W-25908-0

